1	Kimberly A. Shields - 245326 MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY	
2		
3	88 Kearny Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108-5530 Tel: (415) 788-1900	
4	Fax: (415) 393-8087	
5	Attorneys for Defendant WASHOE HOUSE, INC.	
6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
7	I MITTED STATES D	MCTRICT COLUDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAREN HEATHERLY, an individual; and DISABILITY RIGHTS, ENFORCEMENT,	Case No.: CV-08-0736 MMC
12	EDUCATION, SERVICES: HELPING YOU HELP OTHERS, a California public benefit	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL REMEDIATIONS TO WASHOE HOUSE POTENTIALLY COVERED BY THE AMERICANS WITH
13	corporation,	
14	Plaintiffs,	DISABILITIES ACT AND RELATED STATUTES
15	V.	
16	WASHOE HOUSE, INC., a California corporation,	No.
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	1	
	-1-	-

STIPULATION

This Stipulation Re: Additional Remediations To Washoe House Potentially Covered by the Americans With Disabilities Act and Related Statutes (the "Stipulation") is hereby entered into by counsel for Plaintiffs DAREN HEATHERLY and DISABILITY RIGHTS, ENFORCEMENT, EDUCATION, SERVICES: HELPING YOU HELP OTHERS ("Plaintiffs") on the one hand, and counsel for Defendant WASHOE HOUSE, INC. (the "Washoe House" or "Defendant") on the other hand.

- 1. Whereas, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint alleging violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (the "ADA") and related statutes against the Washoe House on January 31, 2008.
- 2. Whereas, on June 19, 2008, Plaintiffs submitted a demand letter to Defendant outlining the various barriers that would need to be remediated in order to settle this lawsuit. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 3. Whereas, counsel for Defendant retained a licensed architect to create plans for implementing the remediations proposed by Plaintiffs in their June 19, 2008 demand letter. A true and correct copy of these plans is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 4. Whereas, counsel for Plaintiffs approved of the Washoe House's architect's plans in their letter dated November 3, 2008. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 5. Whereas, the Washoe House presented Plaintiffs with a timeline for completing the proposed plans in an email message dated December 12, 2008. A true and correct copy of this email message is attached hereto as Exhibit "D."
- 6. Whereas, counsel for Plaintiffs approved of the proposed timeline during a premediation conference with court-appointed mediator Daniel Bowling on January 9, 2009.
- 7. Whereas, counsel for both parties is informed and believes that additional portions of the Washoe House not addressed by Plaintiffs' June 19, 2008 demand letter may or may not pose further barriers to persons with disabilities.
 - 8. Whereas, the parties to this action have read the Declaration submitted by the Washoe

1	House's architect in this action. Based on the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate that there may be		
2	additional barriers at the Washoe House that are not addressed in Plaintiffs' June 19, 2008 demand		
3	letter. The costs and expenses necessary to remediate these potential barriers would be unduly		
4	burdensome and therefore not "readily achievable" for the Washoe House to complete. Accordingly,		
5	the parties hereby stipulate that the additional, potential barriers not addressed by Plaintiffs' demand		
6	letter do not violate the ADA or related statutes. A true and correct copy of the architect's Declaration		
7	is attached hereto as Exhibit "E."		
8	Dated: 5/4 ,2009		
9	THE FRANKOVICH GROUP LAWYERS		
10	By Share		
11	Thomas E. Frankovich Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
12	DAREN HEATHERLY, an individual; and DISABILITY RIGHTS, ENFORCEMENT,		
13	EDUCATION, SERVICES: HELPING YOU HELP OTHERS, a California public benefit corporation,		
14	Dated: 4/14 ,2009		
15	MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY		
16	By Mork S. Borolmon		
17	Mark S. Perelman Kimberly A. Shields		
18	Attorneys for Defendant WASHOE HOUSE, INC.		
19	INDODOCEDI ODDED		
20	PROPOSED ORDER The Count having considered the foresting housey enters on Order finding that the additional		
21	The Court, having considered the foregoing, hereby enters an Order finding that the additional		
22	portions of the Washoe House not addressed in Plaintiffs' June 19, 2008 demand letter, but that		
23	nonetheless may violate the ADA and related statutes, are not "readily achievable" for the Washoe		
24	House to complete.		
25	Dated: June 5 , 2009		
26	Dated: June 5 By Mafine M. Chesne The Honorable Maxine M. Chesne		
27	The foliotable Maxine M. Cheshe		
28	- 3 -		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL REMEDIATIONS TO WASHOE HOUSE POTENTIALLY COVERED BY THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND RELATED STATUTES CV-08-0736 MMC		